



To Affiliate in:

Switzerland
Belgium
Catalonia
Denmark
Latvia
United States

For information:

Wolf Jordan
Peter Vos

Subject: THE LAMBDA

We are all aware of the constraint we are facing with a method of measuring insulation materials conceived by the industries of synthetic materials.

Yet, we are gaining ground as we evoke the necessity to evaluate insulation and building materials from not only one criterion, made by industry to fit industry, more than the user. (See also our Flyer on Lambda)

You have meanwhile been informed about the tests done by Bath University last month.

These tests are significant and we have printed the findings for your personal use and with customers. We stress that Bath is not certified, but it is the only University in the world that has a department engaged with hemp insulation and construction and its notoriety is world known.

We therefore call your attention to the text accompanying the findings and the findings themselves and ask you to retain the following important facts:

You will see that the Lambda of a wet sample is high about 0.07

As the water disappears the Lambda is improving from 0.07 to 0.057

What is most significant is that as temperature decreases OUR Lambda is improving, so the colder it is the better is the thermal effect.

This brings us to the next point of ascertaining that we are a truly "living material" and not a static or "dead" material.

A Lambda of 0.057 is a valuable Lambda which can be used everywhere and there is no problem with you using the document from Bath University with authorities and clients.

The Flyer has been sent to authorities and architects in Switzerland. We suggest you do the same in your country. Receivers find it a very positive initiative.

We are now commissioning one or two licenced laboratories to undertake the following tests using our new formulation with HES-plus:

Fire test

By the Fire institute in Copenhagen. The test will be valid world- wide.

Lambda test

As a supplement to the Bath test

Humidity absorption test

Impact test

Acoustic test

Frost test

We will keep you informed about the timing.

Kind regards

Jørgen Hempel

St. Croix 26 August 2013

Dr Mike Lawrence PhD MSc MA FCMA ProfGradIMMM
Lecturer in Low Carbon Design
BRE Centre for Innovative Construction Materials
Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering
University of Bath
BA2 7AY
United Kingdom
Tel +44(0)1225 385504
m.lawrence@bath.ac.uk



ECOMAT bvba
KMO-Kwikaard 108
B-2980 St. Antonius-Zoersel
Belgie
Tel +32(0)3 384 19 07
Fax +32(0)3 385 08 41
info@ecologischbouwen.be



CONDUCTIVITY TESTING OF PANELS CAST WITH HEMP ECO SYSTEMS' FORMULATION

Formulation

20 kg hemp shiv
25 kg hydrated lime
3 kg additive
Abt. 30-40 litres of water

➤ Proportions used for mixing in a 200liter pan mixer:

- 10kg of hemp
- 20litres of water
- 1.5kg additive
- 12.5kg of hydrated lime

Casting Procedure

- Placed hemp into pan mixer and loosened clumps of fibre by hand
- Added the majority of the water
- Mixed for 3-4min
- 1.5kg of additive slowly added
- Mixing continued while lime was added and the rest of the water slowly; mixed for 3-4min until a consistent mix was achieved.

The mixture was shovelled into square moulds (filled on the flat) and taped gently into all the corners. Once filled the moulds were levelled off with a levelling stick. Cylindrical moulds were also filled and tamped under their own weight, by dropping the cylinder onto its base; this provided a much better consistency and matrix.

The dimensions of the moulds were 600mm x 600mm x 100mm and diameter of 150mm by 300mm high.

All samples were cast on **17th April 2013**
Initial weight of each sample:

	Square mould	Cylinder mould (a)	Cylinder mould (b)
S1	20.9 [Kg]	2.8 [Kg]	3.1 [Kg]
S2	20.8 [Kg]	3.1 [Kg]	3.0 [Kg]
S3	21.9 [Kg]	3.4 [Kg]	3.5 [Kg]

NB mass of mould (4.2kg – Square and 0.5kg – cylindrical) included

Equipment

- The masses of the samples were measured using a KERN DE300K100N scale with a max capacity of 300kg and accurate to 100g.
- The dimensions of the sample were verified using a standard steel meter rule; accurate to 0.5mm although realistically able to measure to $\pm 1\text{-}2\text{mm}$ accuracy due to sample roughness. The thickness of the sample was automatically measured by the HFM, when tested, with an accuracy $\pm 0.025\text{mm}$.
- Volume was calculated by multiplying the plan area of the sample by its thickness. The density of the sample; its weight divided by the volume occupied.
- The conductivity was calculated by the Heat Flow Meter FOX600 Series produced by LaserComp, Inc. USA.
- All samples were wrapped in Clingfilm before testing, and setpoints of temperature difference and mean temperature were set as recommended in the operating manual of the FOX600 Series, listed below in results.

Testing

Sample 1 was tested on: 24th June 2013; taken straight from the conditioning room at (60%RH; 20°C) and wrapped in Clingfilm before testing.

Sample 1 was then retested on the: 1st July 2013.

Sample 1 was dried in an oven until its mass did not change by more than 0.2% during 24 hours and tested in this dry state on: 18th July 2013.

Sample 2 was unconditioned and tested on: 22nd July 2013.

Results

Sample 1 WET	Tested- 24/6/13	330-380 kg/m ³	MC = 40-60 %*
Mean Temperature	Delta	Percentage Difference	Thermal Conductivity
[°C]	[°C]	[%]	[W/mK]
5.02	12.25	10.97	0.06818
10.02	12.25	0.88	0.07034
20.03	12.25	7.32	0.07714
30.03	12.25	3.51	0.08676

Sample 1 DRY	Tested- 18/07/13	238.89 kg/m ³	MC = 0 % (assumed)
Mean Temperature	Delta	Percentage Difference	Thermal Conductivity
[°C]	[°C]	[%]	[W/mK]
10.02	22.5	2.32	0.05735
12.52	22.5	3.04	0.05797
20.02	22.5	6.00	0.05978
30.02	22.5	4.50	0.06284
40.02	22.5	4.17	0.06427